

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

remailed 2/25/04

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Arcandita. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspin.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKETNO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/085,345	02/28/2002	W. Wistar Rhoads	10961133-8	8093	
7590 01/22/2004			EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			hsieh, shih wen		
Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, Co	•		2861	<del></del>	
			DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

JAN 29 2004
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)



UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE WASHINGTON, DC 20231

Paper No.

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

The amendment document filed on 12-22-3 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121, as amended on June 30, 2003 (see 68 Fed. Reg. 38611, Jun. 30, 2003). In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following omission(s) or provision is required. Only the section (1.121(h)) of the amendment document containing the omission or non-compliant provision must be resubmitted (in its entirety), e.g., the entire "Amendments to the claims" section of applicant's amendment document must be re-submitted.

THE FO	LLOWI	ING CHECKED (X) ELEMENTS(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT	TOBE	NON-COMPL	LIANT:			
0	1. Amendments to the specification:							
		A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.						
		B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.	•					
		C. Other	Fig. 18 to					
	2. Abstr	ract:	100	ECHH	<b>7</b> 1 -			
_		A. Not presented on a separate sheet, 37 CFR 1.72.		ي ي	ñ			
	ŏ	B. Other	,	G =	$\bigcirc$			
	_			- 89	띹			
	3. Amen	ndments to the drawings:	: ,	CEN CEN	M			
			į	EA P				
9	4. Amen	ndments to the claims:	4.	23				
		A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.	i.	2800				
(		B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all claims (incl. withdrawn cl	aims):	0				
	Ø	C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified.						
		D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order.						
		E. Other: Andt filed 2-28-02 cancel claims 1-31, 39-45 and 51						
For first	er evila	unation of the amendment format required by 27 CED 1 121 can MODED See 214 as	d the TIC	DTO makaisa				

For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP Sec. 714 and the USPTO website at <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf">http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf</a>.

If the non-compliant amendment is a PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT, applicant is given ONE MONTH from the mail date of this letter to supply the corrected section which complies with 37 CFR 1.121. Failure to comply with 37 CFR 1.121 will result in non-entry of the preliminary amendment and examination on the merits will commence without consideration of the proposed changes in the preliminary amendment(s). This notice is not an action under 35 U.S.C. 132, and this ONE MONTH time limit is not extendable.

If the non-compliant amendment is a reply to a NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION, and since the amendment appears to be a bona fide attempt to be a reply (37 CFR 1.135(c)), applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of ONE MONTH from the mailing of this notice within which to re-submit the corrected section which complies with 37 CFR 1.121 in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD ARE AVAILABLE UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

If the amendment is a reply to a FINAL REJECTION, this form may be an attachment to an Advisory Action. The period for response to a final rejection continues to run from the date set in the final rejection, and is not affected by the non-compliant status of the amendment.

DSMals-Legan Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE)

July 22, 2003 (rev.)

## Changes to the Patent Rules

October 20, 2000

Volume 1, Issue 3

This is the third in a series of Patent News Bulletins to assist you in keeping up to date with significant rule changes which affect your area.

Keep this copy to use as a bookmark for your present MPEP, or view this bulletin again on the USPTO Website.

Replacement paragraphs/sections/claims to be used. 37 CFR-1.121

Innendment by

The rule package
"Changes to the Patent
Business Goals - Final
Rule," published in the
Federal Register on
September 8, 2000, 65
Fed. Reg. 54603 (Sept.
8, 2000), and the Official
Gazette on

8, 2000), and the Official Gazelle on September 19, 2000, 1238 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 77 (September 19, 2000). The PBG rule package makes a number of revisions to Title 37.

The entire final rule may be found at the USPTO Website at http:// www.uspto.gowweb/ offices/doom/olla/pbg/ Index.html

Areas and individuals primarily affected by this rule change include:
(I)Patent Examiners and Tech Support Staff in the Technology Centers
(2) Office of Patent Publication

Any questions related to this change in practice should be directed to Joe Narcavage, Special Projects Exr., (703-305-1795) or Lis. Dougherty, Legal Advisor, (703-306-3156) OPLA.

Mandatory compliance with the revised rule isnot required until March 1, 2001. It is suggested that applicants adopt the revised procedures on or after November 7, 2000, in order to adjust to the changes in amendment practice.

Under the new amendment practice, amendments to the specification must be made by the submission of clean new or replacement paragraph(s), section(s), specification, or claim(s). This practice will provide a specification (including claims) in clean, or substantially clean, form that can be effectively captured and converted by optical character recognition (OCR) scanning during the patent printing process.

The new practice requires applicant to provide, in addition to the clean version of a replacement paragraph/section/claim, a marked-up version using applicant's choice of a conventional

marking system to indicate the changes, which will aid the examiner in identifying the changes that have been made. The marked-up version must be based on the previous version and indicate (by markings) how the previous version has been modified to produce the clean version submitted in the current amendment. The term "previous version" means the version of record in the application as originally filed or from a previously entered amendment.

The following format is suggested in an amendment paper: (1) a clean version of each replacement paragraph/section/claim with clear instructions for entry; (2) starting on a separate page, any remarks/arguments (37 CFR 1.111); and (3) starting n a separate page, a marked-up

version entitled "Version with markings to show changes made."

Applicants will also be able to submit a clean set of all pending claims, consolidating all previous versions of pending claims from a series of separate amendments into a single clean version in a single amendment paper. This submission of a clean version of all of the pending claims will be construed as directing the cancellation of all previous versions of any pending claims. No marked-up version will be required to accompany the clean version where no changes other than the consolidation are being made.

The amended rule encourages issuance of applications with an examiner's amendment without practitioners/applicants having to file a formal amendment. Additions or deletions of subject matter in the specification, including the claims, may continue to be

made in an examiner's amendment at the time of allowance by instructions to make any change at a precise location in the specification or the claims. An examiner's amendment may incorporate a printed copy of a fax or email amendment submitted by applicant. Only that part of the e-mail or fax directed to a clean version, or a portion of, a paragraph/claim to be added should be printed and attached to the examiner's amendment, with a paper copy of the entire e-mail or fax being entered in the file. The electronic version of the e-mail is not required to be saved once the printed e-mail (and any attachments) becomes part of the application file record.

MPEP 714+ & 1302.04

paragraph/claim
replaceion/claim, a
sing applinventional
adicate the changes, which
or in identifying the changes
The marked-up version
change at tion or the

公

P BOOKMAR